## 143649 IIIIIII FLISRAPIIIII CON: FSRD CY COMM TYPE LIGHT **FUSRAP COMMUNICATIONS DISTRIBUTION** DOE/ORO FORMER SITES RESTORATION DIVISION (EW-93) SAIC SENSITIVE DATE PROCESSED BY PDCC JUN 28 1996 COMM REF **ADMIN RCD** NFS - EPA Review of Safety of the High-Level Uranium Ore Residues Kirk/Andrews 6 20 96 Hargrove COMM DATE L CLOSES CON-158 ADDR CODE [ SUBJECT CODE AFFECTED DOCUMENT. RESPONSE TRACKING INFORMATION PHMARY: OWED BY: OWED TO: CLOSING CON (OAG) TARGET DATE SECONDARY: SECONDARY: OWED BY: 4.174 6830.1 TARGET DATE CLOSING CON (OAG) MESSAGE:

		W/A	W/O			W/A	W/U	The state of the s	_	W/A	**/
DIRECTOR, FSRD: L. Price	FSAD	100		PROGRAM MANAGER: J. Waddel	SAIC	d files	3,187	PROGRAM MANAGER: R. Harbert	ВРМ		, N
DEP. DIRECTOR, FSRD: W. Seay	FSRO		1	CEPUTY PROGRAM MGR: T. Patterson	£.1C			DEPUTY PROGRAM MGRS.: W. Futrell	ВРМ		
J. Hart	FSRD			MGMT. SYSTEMS: K. Rentro	SAIC			A. Boos	BPM		1
D. Adler	FSAD			SECRETARY: S. Heptinstal	SAIC	1.5		PROJ. MGRS.: M. Redmon	BPM		Ž
B. Askin	FSRD					SH.		G. Paleu	BPM	9 (B)	å
S. Cange	FSRD	inα,	10	E. McName	J. Style		1	P. Griffin	ВРМ	\$ J.C.	2
J. Derby	FSRD				MIL		1	COMMUNITY RELATIONS	BCR		
E. Green	FSRD	256				345	24.74	CONSTRUCTION	BFC	$\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{C}]$	
G. Hartman	FSRO						17.FT.	ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY	BET		
J. Jepp	FSRD							ENGINEERING	BET	er er	70 80
R. Kirk	FSRD	總			) (1)			ENVIR TECH/DATA	BET	Carr.	100
J. Kopotic	FSRD		7.34		*	$\varphi = h$		GEOTECH A STATE OF THE STATE OF	BET	445	
L Merz	FSRD		36.T	10 miles (10 mil	**	極度		PROG. GUIDANCE & ASSESSMENT	BEH	110	2
M. Noe	FSRD		1.0				12.00	SAFETY & HEALTH	BEH	7.00	Å
S. Oldham	FSRD		87,55 47,75					ENVIR COMPLIANCE	BEH		6
S. Williams	FSRD	144		<b>第一个一个大学的基础的基础的</b>	Fifty:	被		QUALITY ASSURANCE	BQA	100	13
PRGM ANALYST: **** K. Houser	FSRD	14	\$14	Section Control of the Control of th	機能	Silvaria P		WASTE MGMT	<b>BWM</b>	37.77	200
SECRETARIA & M. Seiber/M. Dyke/		- A		15 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				WASTE TREATMENT	BWT		3.00
T. Patterson	13.5				等逐期		- 349	PROCUREMENT	вро	6.85°	
FSAD CHRON FILE		1957		THERMO NUTECH	BET	grajs		PROJECT ADMINISTRATION	BPA		ि
FSRD NOTEBOOKS		, je		SITES: 158 NFSS	300	14		INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	BAU		1
READING FILF	1.27	S-3/-		TONAWANDA INFO CTR.	70.0	and an	A 15/457	PROJECT CONTROLS	ВРС		13
DOE/P&CD: French	DCO	197		137 WISS	100	1400	177	TECHNICAL REPORTS	BTR	1900 P	Ī
DOE/HO:	DHO		88	138 MISSANFO CTR	166 01	1	1	CENTRAL TOOLS & EQUIPMENT	BWS	激制。	
ANL G. Maramen	ANL	39		139 COLONIE (CISS)	1		137	ADMIN RCD/INFO REPOSITORY	16	技術	
D. Dunning	ANL	7,812	1960	140 / 153 LATTY AVE/SLAPS	1865	- W	5.00	POCC READ FILE TO/FROM DOE	19-85	1400	3
SARARAKAN PERKETAN	eres c	394	36,9939	te a contrata del serve del agretto e el dece	14/100	ANSHA		PDCC: SENSITIVE/CHRON FILE	300	Assay.	37



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 2 290 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10007-1866

Jun 27 11 31 AH '96

/JHH 2 0 1996

Ron Kirk
Former Sites Restoration Division
U.S. Department of Energy
P.O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-0723

Robert Andrews
Board on Radioactive Waste Management
National Research Council
2101 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20418

Dear Messrs. Kirk and Andrews:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed the National Research Council's (NRC) report, entitled Safety of the High-Level Uranium Ore Residues at the Niagara Falls Storage Site. Lewiston. New York. At the request of the Department of Energy (DOE), the NRC conducted the study to identify short- and long-term issues with the existing waste containment structure at the Niagara Falls Storage Site (NFSS) in Lewiston, New York.

The findings of the report indicate that there is no immediate hazard to the off-site public from the residues in their present configuration. However, the report states that the high-level residues pose a potential long-term risk to the public, given the existing environmental conditions and future unpredictability, if they are left permanently at the NFSS. Moreover, it states that the proposed replacement of the interim cap with a permanent cap will not address these risks. To address these issues, the report includes the following recommendations: the DOE should develop a program to treat the high-level residues in a manner similar to that of the Fernald Environmental Management Project; once the high-level residues are removed, remaining wastes should be buried under a suitable protective cap on site; and an alternative monitoring and maintenance plan should be developed by DOE to measure and track transport of radiological and chemical contaminants at the NFSS, both prior to and following removal of the residues.

The findings of the report support EPA's long-time position that the high-level residues be sent off-site for disposal, not managed on-site over the long term. Accordingly, EPA concurs with the NRC recommendations that DOE develop a program for removal, treatment, and disposal off-site of the residues.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. If you have any questions regarding this matter, or require additional information, please call William Lawler of my staff at (212) 637-3491.

Sincerely yours

Robert W. Hargrove, Chief Environmental Impacts Branch

cc: B. Jablonowski, Region V